

# A proof of the irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$

Leslie Lamport

December 1, 1993

## Abstract

Printable version of a sample proof that uses Lamport's proof style [1], illustrating how structured proofs can be converted to HTML pages via  $\text{\LaTeX}2\text{HTML}$  enriched with extensions for Lamport's proof style.

**Theorem** There does not exist  $r$  in  $\mathbf{Q}$  such that  $r^2 = 2$ .

PROOF SKETCH: We assume  $r^2 = 2$  for  $r \in \mathbf{Q}$  and obtain a contradiction. Writing  $r = m/n$ , where  $m$  and  $n$  have no common divisors (step  $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ ), we deduce from  $(m/n)^2 = 2$  and the lemma that both  $m$  and  $n$  must be divisible by 2 ( $\langle 1 \rangle 2$  and  $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ ).

ASSUME: 1.  $r \in \mathbf{Q}$   
2.  $r^2 = 2$

PROVE: False

$\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Choose  $m, n$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$  such that

1.  $\text{gcd}(m, n) = 1$
2.  $r = (m/n)$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Choose  $p, q$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$  such that  $q \neq 0$  and  $r = p/q$ .

PROOF: By assumption  $\langle 0 \rangle 1$ .

LET:  $m \triangleq p / \text{gcd}(p, q)$   
 $n \triangleq q / \text{gcd}(p, q)$

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$

PROOF:  $\langle 2 \rangle 1$  and definition of  $m$  and  $n$ .

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$ .  $r = m/n$

PROOF:  $m/n = \frac{p / \text{gcd}(p, q)}{q / \text{gcd}(p, q)}$  [Definition of  $m$  and  $n$ ]  
 $= p/q$  [Simple algebra]  
 $= r$  [By  $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ ]

$\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $\text{gcd}(m, n) = 1$

PROOF: By the definition of the gcd, it suffices to:

ASSUME: 1.  $s$  divides  $m$   
2.  $s$  divides  $n$

PROVE:  $s = \pm 1$

$\langle 3 \rangle 1$ .  $s \cdot \text{gcd}(p, q)$  divides  $p$ .

PROOF:  $\langle 2 \rangle$ :1 and the definition of  $m$ .  
 $\langle 3 \rangle$ 2.  $s \cdot \gcd(p, q)$  divides  $q$ .  
 PROOF:  $\langle 2 \rangle$ :2 and definition of  $n$ .  
 $\langle 3 \rangle$ 3. Q.E.D.  
 PROOF:  $\langle 3 \rangle$ 1,  $\langle 3 \rangle$ 2, and the definition of  $\gcd$ .  
 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. Q.E.D.  
 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 2. 2 divides  $m$ .  
 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 1.  $m^2 = 2n^2$   
 PROOF:  $\langle 1 \rangle$ 1.1 implies  $(m/n)^2 = 2$ .  
 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 2. Q.E.D.  
 PROOF: By  $\langle 2 \rangle$ 1 and the lemma.  
 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 3. 2 divides  $n$ .  
 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 1. Choose  $p$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$  such that  $m = 2p$ .  
 PROOF: By  $\langle 1 \rangle$ 2.  
 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 2.  $n^2 = 2p^2$   
 PROOF:  $2 = (m/n)^2$  [ $\langle 1 \rangle$ 1.2 and  $\langle 0 \rangle$ :2]  
 $= (2p/n)^2$  [ $\langle 2 \rangle$ 1]  
 $= 4p^2/n^2$  [Algebra]  
 from which the result follows easily by algebra.  
 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 3. Q.E.D.  
 PROOF: By  $\langle 2 \rangle$ 2 and the lemma.  
 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 4. Q.E.D.  
 PROOF:  $\langle 1 \rangle$ 1.1,  $\langle 1 \rangle$ 2,  $\langle 1 \rangle$ 3, and definition of  $\gcd$ .

## References

- [1] Leslie Lamport, 1993, How to write a proof. In *Global Analysis of Modern Mathematics*, pp. 311–321. Publish or Perish, Houston, Texas, February 1993. A symposium in honor of Richard Palais’ sixtieth birthday (also published as SRC Research Report 94). <http://research.microsoft.com/users/lamport/proofs/src94.ps.Z>